## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER REQUIRING
SUBMISSION OF JOINT
PROPOSED JURY
INSTRUCTIONS AND PROPOSED
SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

By **5:00 P.M.** on **FRIDAY**, **SEPTEMBER 21**, **2007**, the parties will please submit the following:

1.	A joint set of proposed instruction	ns on substantive issues of law arranged in a	
logical seque	nce. If undisputed, an instruction s	shall be identified as "Stipulated Instruction No.	
Re	," with the blanks filled in	n as appropriate. If disputed, each version of the	
instruction sh	all be inserted together, back to ba	ck, in their logical place in the overall sequence.	
Each such disputed instruction shall be identified as, for example, "Disputed Instruction			
No Re	Offered by	," with the blanks filled in as	
appropriate. All disputed versions of the same basic topic shall bear the same number.			
Citations with	n pin cites are required, even if the	instruction is by stipulation. Any modifications	
to a form inst	ruction must be plainly identified.	If a party does not have a counter-version and	
simply conter	nds no such instruction in any versi	ion should be given, then that party should so	
state (and exp	plain why) on a separate page inser	ted in lieu of an alternate version. You need not	

provide any preliminary instructions, general instructions or concluding instructions, all of	of
which the Court will do on its own in a draft for comment.	

- 2. A separate memorandum of law in support of each party's disputed instructions, organized by instruction number. Please quote exactly (without ellipses) controlling passages from the authorities and give pin cites.
- A joint special verdict form with the questions (as few as possible) arranged in a 3. logical sequence.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2007.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE